

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address 25 MMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bey 1480 Alexandra, Virginia 223(3)(480) www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 933.859	08.20.2001	Pak Nin Chan	Chan "G"	7445
7590 07 08 2003				2
Joan H. Pauly BARNARD & PAULY, P.S. P.O.Box 58888		EXAMINER		
		WEINSTEIN, STEVEN L		
Seattle, WA 98	8138-1888		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			44
	Application No.  Applicant(s)  Examiner		
Office Action Summary	09/33353	Applicantis	Lici T
			10
- The MAU INO D. I	13.01€ 45	TE 1.	Group Art Unit
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared Period for Reply	rs on the cover short	<del></del>	
Period for Reply	and dover sneet t	peneath the c	orrespondence addres
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of the second state of the provisions of the second state	O EXPIRE	1401 m	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reference of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaulting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statem adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>Status</li> </ul>	1.136(a). In no event, however the statutory min	ver, may a reply b	oe timely filed after SIX (6) M
Status	iling date of this communica	tion, even if time	DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
			or may reduce any earned r
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
Cinc. 4:			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935  Disposition of Claims	or formal		
Disposition of Claims	C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to	the merits is closed in
☑ Claim(s) 1 - / ✓			
Of the above claim(c)			
		is/are pen	ding in the application.
□ Claim(s)		is/are with	drawn from considerati
□ Claim(s)		is/are with	drawn from considerati
☐ Claim(s)		is/are with is/are allow is/are rejec is/are objec	drawn from considerati ved. ted.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction (i.e.)		is/are with is/are allow is/are rejec is/are objec	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction (i.e.)		is/are with is/are allow is/are rejec is/are objec	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on		is/are with is/are allow is/are rejec is/are objec	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on		is/are with is/are allow is/are rejec is/are objec	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		is/are with is/are allow is/are rejec is/are objec	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	_ is □ approved □ o to by the Examiner	is/are with is/are allow is/are rejec is/are objec	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	_ is □ approved □ o to by the Examiner	is/are with is/are allow is/are rejec is/are objec	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ In oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	is approved a control to by the Examiner	is/are with is/are allow is/are rejec is/are objec	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority decrease.	is ☐ approved ☐ do to by the Examiner  35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).	is/are with is/are allov is/are rejec is/are object are subject requirement disapproved.	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is approved of to by the Examiner  35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).	is/are with is/are allov is/are rejec is/are object are subject requirement disapproved.	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority.	is approved of to by the Examiner  35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).	is/are with is/are allov is/are rejec is/are object are subject requirement disapproved.	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Alt ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have in this national stage application for the certified copies of the priority documents have in this national stage application for the certified copies of the priority documents have	is approved of to by the Examiner  35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  ed.  d in Application No.	is/are with is/are allov is/are rejec is/are object are subject requirement disapproved.	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have in this national stage application from the International Bureacertified copies not received:	is approved of to by the Examiner  35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  ed.  d in Application No.	is/are with is/are allov is/are rejec is/are object are subject requirement disapproved.	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
Claim(s) Conjected to by the Examiner.  is/Are objected to by the Examiner.  is/Are objected to by the Examiner.  is/Are objected Claim(s)  Claim(s)  is/Are objected  is	is paperoved of to by the Examiner  35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  ed.  d in Application No.  been received  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	is/are with is/are allov is/are rejec is/are object are subject requirement disapproved.	drawn from consideratived.  Ited.  Ited to.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have in this national stage application from the International Bureactified copies not received: ☐ Certified copies not received:	is paperoved of to by the Examiner  35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  ed.  d in Application No.  been received  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	is/are with is/are allov is/are rejec is/are object are subject requirement disapproved.	drawn from consideratived. sted. cted to. to restriction or election t
Claim(s) Cla	is approved of to by the Examiner  35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  ed. ed in Application No. e been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	is/are with is/are allov is/are rejec is/are object are subject requirement disapproved.	drawn from consideratived. sted. cted to. to restriction or election t
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have in this national stage application from the International Bureactified copies not received: ☐ Certified copies not received:	is approved of to by the Examiner  35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  ed. ed in Application No. e been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))  Interview Notice of	is/are with is/are allov is/are reject is/are object are subject requirement disapproved.	drawn from consideratived. sted. cted to. to restriction or election t

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/933,859

Art Unit: 1761

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims1-3, drawn to a method manufacturing a candy product, classified in class 426, subclass 420.

II. Claims 4-14, drawn to a candy product, classified in class 426, subclass115.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed in Group II can be made by methods other than that recited in Group I. For example, the product as claimed in Group II can be made without inserting a pin into the holder. The solid body of candy can be preformed and attached to the holder by any number of techniques such as screw threads, snap in, male/female elements, bonding, etc. Note too, that even if the candy is not preformed, the pin could be associated with the mold and not the holder.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art requiring separate searches as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Steven Weinstein whose telephone number is (703) 308-0650. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

S. Weinstein/dh June 18, 2003

Corrected July 2, 2003 STEVE WEINSTEIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

· 7/ =/m3